# Model & Simulation Environment for UCADS

### (User-Centric Automation Devices/Systems)

Jane W. S. Liu Institute of Information Science Academia Sinica, Taiwan

http://sisarl.org

WORCS, June 2012, Boston

# About Us and Our Work

- People
  - Faculty members and students from NTU, NTHU, NCTU and Academia Sinica, including *C. S. Shih and T. K. Kuo*
  - Nurses, pharmacists and IT staff from NTUH and mechanical engineers from ITRI
- Projects
  - SISARL (2007-2009) Academic Sinica thematic project
  - iNuC: Intelligent nursing cart project supported by NTUH
  - MeMDAS: Medication management, dispensing and administration systems project supported by MOEA, NTUH and Academia Sinica
- Research emphases: user-centric approach
  - Component-based design, production, & quality assurance
  - Methods and tools for trading off usability, configurability and other figures of merits



- Motivation and rationales
   Examples of UCADS
   Requirements and characteristics
- UCADS model: Workflow and GOMS
- USE: UCADS simulation environment
- Case studies
- Missing pieces/wish list



- Home and personal automation devices: Smart storage panty, medication dispensers, fetcher, object locator, & housekeeping aids
- Automation equipment for care-providing institutions: Medication dispensing and administration tools, delivery roots, tools for moving and bathing patients, etc.
- Service and social robots: Medication delivery robots, exercise companions, etc.
- *iGaD:* Intelligent guards against disaster







## Delivery robots in general

## MeMDAS Components



MUMS: Multi-user smart medication cabinets and server

### A Future Scenerio





# **Common Requirements**

### Easy and safe to use:

- Serve users with little or no training
- Can tolerate, and recover from, misuses and abuses by users
- Can prevent harmful conditions & user actions

## Flexible:

- Are *configurable* to support different
   processes and rely on different infrastructures
- Are easily *customizable* to suit different users
- Can adapt to changes in user's needs



# **Typical Characteristics**

- Do not (should not) have technologically challenging functional features
- Are not small like handheld devices
- Should have good interactive response (e.g., 50 – 100 milliseconds)
- Have no hard real-time requirements
- May rely on users to do critical work



No erroneous (compartment, bar-code) – mappings !

### Multi-User Medication Station (MUMS)



### User-System Interactions During Bar-code Controlled Medication Dispensing





# About UCADS Model

- Leverages two widely used technologies:
  - Workflows: for specification of device behavior, use actions, and user-device interactions,
  - CPM-GOMS (Goals, Operators, Methods, and Selection rules): for modeling human user behavior and abilities
- Is easy to understand and executable
- Becomes implementation for devices built from workflow components



## **Activities and Workflows** and Microsoft .NET Workflow Foundation Visual Designer A Workflow An Activity Custom Activity Library Windows Workflow Foundation **Base Activities Library** Core runtime Services **Runtime Engine** Host Process



### Workflow Definition of Load Pantry Process







- Proposed by Card, Moran and Newell in 1983 for description of human-computer interactions
- Comes in several variants, including
  - Card, Moran and Newell model (CMN)
  - Keystroke-Level model (KLM): simplified CMN
  - Natural GOMS Language (NGOMSL)
  - Cognitive Perceptual Motor model (CPM), used with critical path method
  - Queuing network model (QN-MHP/GOM)
- Uses MHP (Model Human Processor)

# A CPM-GOMS Model





- Response time of perception depends on intensity of stimulus
- Stored information decays from working memory
- Power Law of Practice: Cognition response time decreases exponentially with practice
- Fitts Law: Time taken by hand to move to target is proportional to log 2 (2 \* distance/size)
- Hick-Hyman Law: Choice reaction time is given by a + b log 2 (number of choices)

## Estimate Execution Time in CPM-GOMS



### Transform CPM-GOMS PERT Chart to Workflow

Together XPDL and BPMN Workflow Editor (TWE)



Transformation Tool (XPDL Parser + Translator)

EMWF, WfMOpen

WF

#### Walking to Cabinet in CPM-GOMS PERT chart



#### Pseudo code of Transformation Tool (Parser + Translator)

Input: XPDL file of CPM-GOMS PERT chart *G* Output: A parallel workflow *W* 

#### Workflow in WF





#### One-to-one mapping: Isomorphic

 $\Rightarrow$ 

- •Check in/out degrees
- Check critical path of both graphs

## User Action Library

#### Hand\_Put in Custom Activity

#### Hand\_Put Workflow



♥ ■ hand_put
Properities of Hand_Put

P	roperties		-	<b>म</b>	Х		
	Hand_Put1 System.Workf	low.Activities.Hand_Put			•		
	(Name)	Hand_Put1					
	Description						
	Enabled	True					
	Item						
	Amount						
	Distance						
	Target						
	Human model						

Generate Handlers, Promote Bindable Properties, Bind Selected Property...

#### (Name)

Please specify the identifier of the activity. It has to be unique in the workflow.

#### Hand\_Put in ToolBox

🕒 Keystroke-Level Model Comp					
<b>B</b> NGOMSL Components					
Ξ (	CPM-GOMS Basic Operators				
₩.	Pointer				
Ċ,	Initiate				
0	Attend				
Ċ.	Verify				
Q	Perceive				
ð	MoveCursor				
ð	DownMouse				
ð	UpMouse				
٩	Press				
٩	Release				
Ē	MoveEye				
	CPM-GOMS Templates				
١Ł.	Pointer				
<b>_</b> ï	SlowClickMove				
Ţ	MediumClickMove				
=Ĩ	FastClickMove				
=Ĩ	SlowMoveClick				
=Ĩ	FastMoveClick				
4222	ТуреКеуз				
	Other Operators				
N.	Pointer				
R	Speech				
2	Walk				
Ξ 1	Cemplates for Smart Pantry				
₩.	Pointer				
۲	PushButton				
	ScanBarcode				
٩	PlaceObject				
٩	RemoveObject				



### **USE: UCADS Simulation Environment**



### Case Study 1: Debugging Smart Storage Pantry





#### Load\_Pantry (Low Priority)

15:46:25.267 LoadPantryWorkflow Created 15:46:25.267 RemovePantryWorkflow Created 15:46:25.267 LoadPantryWorkflow Started 15:46:25.267 RemovePantryWorkflow Started 15:46:25.267 Executing LoadPantryWorkflow, Type=Load Pantry 15:46:25.267 Executing RegisterUser, Type=CallExternalMethodActivity 15:46:25.267 Closed RegisterUser, Type=CallExternalMethodActivity 15:46:25.267 Executing User\_LoadPantryWorkflow, Type=User\_LoadPantry 15:46:43.267 SendUserEvent, Type=CallExternalMethodActivity 15:46:43.280 UserData from User\_LoadPantry model :children 15:46:43.280 UserData from User LoadPantry Item:Beer 15:46:43.280 UserData from User LoadPantry Amount :6 15:46:43.280 UserData from User\_LoadPantry Compartment:4 15:46:43.280 Executing User RemovePantry, Type=User RemovePantry 15:46:44.280 SendUserEvent, Type=CallExternalMethodActivity 15:46:44.280 UserData from User\_RemovePantry model :older 15:46:44.280 UserData from User RemovePantry Item:shampoo 15:46:44.280 UserData from User RemovePantry Amount :1 15:46:44.280 UserData from User\_RemovePantry Compartment:2 . . .

#### Remove\_Pantry (High Priority)

User 1: Push "LOAD " Button .

Pantry: Turn on bar-code scanner and waits for the user to scan bar-code id of an object.

User 1: Scan bar-code of a six pack of mineral water.

Pantry: Say "You just scanned an object."

User 2: Remove the last bottle of shampoo in Compartment 4.

Pantry: Say "You just remove the last unit of an unknown object. Please scan its bar-code id so I can reorder it for you."

User 2: Scan bar-code id of shampoo.

Pantry: Say "Do you want me to re-order the object?"

User 2: Push "Yes" Button.

User 2: Walk away.

User 1: Put a six pack of mineral water into Compartment 3.

Pantry: Say "You have just put an object in Compartment 3." and associate bar-code id of shampoo with Compartment 3.

User 1: Walk away.



### Case Study 2: Testing Smart Medication Dispenser



Action-oriented model Executor-decision-maker communication





Dispenser controller structure



#### A user is tardy by an amount of time. (0% ~ 100% noncompliance)

Select	User / Device	Workflow class	Workflow instance ID	Identifier
<b>~</b>	Device	DispenserSchedulerWorkflow	b77f9bb8-f244-4adc-8129-0d1fdbd41b7b	DispenserScheduler
<b>~</b>	Device	DispenserControllerWorkflow	b77f9bb8-f244-4adc-8129-0d1fdbd41b7c	DispenserController
<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	User	ComplianceUserWorkflow	0492fd3f-322e-4d8e-8269-fcff27bf3659	ComplianceUser
<b>~</b>	User	${\it Sporadic NonCompliance User Work flow}$	22eeedb2-725d-4671-8ed4-48324c4bcb29	SporadicNonComplianceUser
<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	User	SporadicComplianceUserWorkflow	22eeedb2-725d-4671-8ed4-48324c4bcb30	SporadicComplianceUser
<b>~</b>	User	NonComplianceUserWorkflow	0492fd3f-322e-4d8e-8269-fcff27bf3660	NonComplianceUser



#### Simulation Run1: Should the user take

some drugs now?

#### Simulation Run2: How the device behave

when user is tardy?



### Case Study 3: Debugging and Performance Evaluation of Multi-User Medication Station





#### Simulation Parameters

 $\bigotimes$  No. of patients per nurse: 6

- $\bigotimes$  No. of medications for each patient: 6 -12
- XNo of medication containers: 138
- & Medication use pattern: Zipf's law
- ∀Operation of BaMU: CPM GOMS
- ♂ Times of user operations (e.g., walking, scan bar-code id, etc.): measured
- $\bigotimes$  Machine response time: 0.1 ~ 10 seconds







- Motivation and rationales
   Examples of UGADS
  - Characteristics and requirements
     Models of user-assistive-device and
- UCARSIMODEL: Nearkillow and GOMS
- USE: UCADS simulation environment
- Case studies
- Missing pieces/wish list

## A User Actions Monitor







# We lack

Conditions for action sequences to be
 Observable and
 Recoverable (undoable)
 Methods and tools





## Workflow Definition Languages and Workflow Management Systems

- Workflow Definition Languages
  - <u>XPDL: XML Process Definition Language</u>
  - <u>C# + XAML</u>
  - BPEL: Business Process Execution Language
  - YAWL: Yet Another Workflow Language
  - BPMN: Business Process Model Language
- Workflow Management Systems
  - Enhydra Shark
  - Workflow Foundation
  - jBPM
  - Bonita
  - YAWL System
  - WfMOpen
  - ActiveBPEL
  - ProcessMaker

### GOMS (Goals, Operators, Methods, and Selection rules)

- Why GOMS?
  - John, B. E. (1995) Why GOMS? Interactions, vol. 2, no. 4. pp. 80-89.
  - John, B. E. and Kieras, D. E., "Using GOMS for User Interface Design and Evaluation: Which Technique?" in ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, Volume 3, Issue 4, December 1996.
  - Lu Luo, and Bonnie E. John, "Predicting task execution time on handheld devices using the keystroke-level model," in Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2005.
  - John, B. E. and Suzuki, S., "Toward Cognitive Modeling for Predicting Usability," in Proceedings of HCI, 2009 (19-24 July 09, San Diego, CA).
  - J. L. Drury, J. Scholtz, and D. Kieras, "Adapting GOMS to model humanrobot interaction," in Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-robot interaction, Arlington, Virginia, March USA, 2007.
- GOMS family
  - KLM
  - CMN-GOMS
  - NGOMSL
  - CPM-GOMS



# Prototyping tools

- CogTool, http://cogtool.hcii.cs.cmu.edu/
- Hartmann, B., Klemmer, S.R., Bernstein, M., Abdulla, L., Burr, B., Robinson-Mosher, A., Gee, J., "Reflective physical prototyping through integrated design, test, and analysis," in Proceedings of UIST 2006.
- Björn Hartmann, Loren Yu, Abel Allison, Yeonsoo Yang, Scott R. Klemmer, "Design As Exploration: Creating Interface Alternatives through Parallel Authoring and Runtime Tuning," in Proceedings of UIST 2008.
- Barboni, E., Ladry, J., Navarre, D., Palanque, P., and Winckler, M., "Beyond modeling: an integrated environment supporting coexecution of tasks and systems models," in Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGCHI Symposium on Engineering interactive Computing Systems (Berlin, Germany, June 19 - 23, 2010).
- Rémi Bastide, David Navarre, and Philippe Palanque, "A Model-Based Tool for Interactive Prototyping of Highly Interactive Applications," in Proceeding CHI EA '02 CHI '02 extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, 2002.